Pore-scale mass and reactant transport in multiphase porous media flows
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Reactive processes associated with multiphase flows play a significant role in mass transport in unsaturated porous media. For example, the effect of reactions on the solid matrix can affect the formation and stability of fingering instabilities associated with the invasion of a buoyant non-wetting fluid. In this study, we focus on the formation and stability of capillary channels of a buoyant non-wetting fluid (developed because of capillary instabilities) and their impact on the transport and distribution of a reactant in the porous medium. We use a combination of pore-scale numerical calculations based on a multiphase reactive lattice Boltzmann model (LBM) and scaling laws to quantify (i) the effect of dissolution on the preservation of capillary instabilities, (ii) the penetration depth of reaction beyond the dissolution/melting front, and (iii) the temporal and spatial distribution of dissolution/melting under different conditions (concentration of reactant in the non-wetting fluid, injection rate). Our results show that, even for tortuous non-wetting fluid channels, simple scaling laws assuming an axisymmetrical annular flow can explain (i) the exponential decay of reactant along capillary channels, (ii) the dependence of the penetration depth of reactant on a local Péclet number (using the non-wetting fluid velocity in the channel) and more qualitatively (iii) the importance of the melting/reaction efficiency on the stability of non-wetting fluid channels. Our numerical method allows us to study the feedbacks between the immiscible multiphase fluid flow and a dynamically evolving porous matrix (dissolution or melting) which is an essential component of reactive transport in porous media.
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1. Introduction

Porous media flows with immiscible fluids are ubiquitous in subsurface Earth environments. The distribution and saturation level of the different fluids have a drastic effect on the dynamics of the system. The dynamics at the pore scale is controlled by capillary forces between the different fluid phases and the solid matrix. A pore-scale description of the mass transport for the different fluid phases is therefore
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critical to better understanding of problems associated with gas and oil reservoirs, the decontamination of a polluted vadose zone (Lundegard & Andersen 1996), degassing processes in volcanic environments (Bachmann & Bergantz 2006; Huber, Bachmann & Manga 2010) and nuclear waste (Woods & Norris 2010), and CO$_2$ storage in a geological disposal facility (Farcas & Woods 2009; Woods & Norris 2010).

Multiphase flows in porous media are often associated with the transport of additional dissolved components (chemicals, contaminants) or heat. In many instances, their presence drives reactions at either the fluid–fluid interface (change in surface tension due to surfactants) or fluid–solid interface (dissolution/melting/precipitation due to chemical reactions and melting/solidification due to heat transfer between different phases). These reactions can ultimately affect the pore-scale geometry and lead to a local change in permeability and phase saturation. Reactions can exert a strong control on the discharge of fluids and advected quantities. The determination of the length and time scales over which reaction processes affect the porous medium have fundamental implications for matrix acidification processes in oil reservoirs, as well as the thermal evolution of a volcanic system in magmatic environments.

Mass transport in multiphase porous media flows is controlled by pressure gradients, buoyancy, viscous and capillary forces. Their relative importance determines the behaviour of the flow in the porous media. Saffman & Taylor (1958) showed that, when the invading fluid has a lower viscosity than the defending fluid, viscous fingering instability grows, leading to the development of invading fluid channels, hereafter referred to as capillary channels or fingers. On the other hand, when the viscosity of the invading fluid is comparable to or greater than that of the defending fluid, heterogeneous pore geometries and/or fluid saturation can promote the formation of capillary instabilities (e.g. Lenormand, Touboul & Zarcone 1988). The formation of channels strongly influences the macroscopic (Darcy-scale) fluid discharge. The existence of preferential pathways (channels) for the non-wetting fluid can have a disruptive influence, for example in the context of secondary oil recovery, or a positive impact for the concentration of base metals to form ore deposits.

The dynamics that control mass transport and channel formation in reactive porous media is highly nonlinear. The development of quantitative models at the pore scale, their upscaling and introduction into larger-scale transport models is a necessary step towards a better understanding of reactive porous media flows. This study aims to understand the evolution of capillary fingers in a dissolving porous medium, and characterize the effect of dissolution and dissolution rates on the transport of reactant and the local evolution of the porous medium. Laboratory experiments of multiphase flows in porous media have significantly improved our understanding of both miscible and immiscible fluid dynamics in porous media. For example, experiments of flow-front instability in homogeneous and heterogeneous porous media have been successful in testing scaling laws describing the spacing of fingers and their growth rates (see for example Homsy 1987; Glass, Steenhuis & Parlange 1989), and in studying the effect of reactions between two miscible fluids on the rheology of the mixture and its effect on the fingering dynamics (Nagatsu et al. 2007, 2009, 2011). However, in most cases (such as the processes studied here), the design of a suitable laboratory experiment becomes extremely challenging. As we show with our results, the dissolution rates exert primary control on the evolution of capillary fingers and, by extension, on the transport of reactant in the porous medium. Scaled experiments with slow dissolution rates, such as those characteristic of most natural systems, and, where the evolution of the system is monitored at the pore scale throughout the duration of the whole experiment, are still lacking. In this study, we therefore propose a first approach to the
pore-scale evolution of fingering instabilities in a dissolving porous medium using a combination of numerical calculations and scaling arguments.

Numerical simulations provide an attractive tool for the investigation of pore-scale processes. A wide range of numerical methods, such as dissipative particle dynamics (Hoogerbrugge & Koelman 1992), smoothed particle hydrodynamics (Gingold & Monaghan 1977) and lattice Boltzmann (LBM) (Chen & Doolen 1998) have been used, for example, to study pore-scale processes in a multiphase fluid flow environment. On the other hand, pore-network models offer a less computationally expensive alternative (these models do not resolve for interface separation between immiscible fluids), but unphysical assumptions such as fluid saturations limited to either 0 or 1 in pores rule them out for the study of reactive flows at the pore scale (Meakin & Tartakovsky 2009). In this study, we use the lattice Boltzmann method because of its ability to model single and multiphase flows in complex geometries (Martys & Chen 1996; Olson & Rothman 1997; Sukop et al. 2008; Boek & Venturoli 2010) and its efficiency in terms of parallelization of the algorithms (Chen & Doolen 1998).

We focus on the effect of melting on the flow of an invading buoyant non-wetting fluid in a porous medium. We use largely parallel numerical calculations and simple scaling arguments to study the formation and viability of capillary channels (channels of non-wetting fluid formed by capillary instabilities) as they transport reactant/heat through an evolving porous medium (dissolution/melting). We investigate the effect of melting on the stability of these channels and characterize the distribution of melting/reaction in the porous medium for different injection rates and melting efficiencies.

In the following section, we describe the physical model for the system under investigation and briefly introduce the conservation equations relevant to our calculations. In § 3, we present the lattice Boltzmann (LB) algorithm for thermal reactive multiphase flow in porous media developed for this study. The algorithm solves for the conservation of momentum for each fluid and the conservation of enthalpy for the three different phases (solid and the two immiscible fluids). In the fourth section, we present scaling laws and numerical results to address the question of the stability of capillary channels and the mass/heat transport associated with them.

2. Physical model

Our study focuses on the effect of reactant transported by a buoyant non-wetting fluid injected at the base of a porous medium. Because of the nonlinear nature of the problem, where the dynamical coupling between the three different phases (two immiscible fluids and solid) at the pore scale governs the evolution of the porous medium, we focus on a pore-scale, as opposed to Darcy-scale, description of the system. To simplify the following discussion, we use melting and heat transfer as an example, but the discussion applies also to dissolution problems associated with chemicals transported by the non-wetting fluid (governed by the same set of equations). We assume that a porous medium made of two different solids, one melting at a lower temperature (fertile solid fraction) and one melting at a temperature outside the range of interest in our calculations (refractory solid fraction) is initially saturated with a neutrally buoyant fluid (see figure 1). The fluid and solid are initially in thermal equilibrium at the melting temperature of the fertile solid fraction $T_m$. The base of the porous medium is then subjected to the injection (at a volume rate $q_{nw}$) of a buoyant non-wetting fluid at a temperature $T_0 > T_m$. We also raise the temperature of
the boundary condition at the base of the porous medium to $T_0$ (thermal equilibrium with the bubbles of injected non-wetting fluid).

The heat transferred from the lower boundary and from the ascending non-wetting fluid bubbles is transferred to the wetting fluid and porous matrix resulting in the partial dissolution/melting of the latter. Because of capillary forces and heterogeneities in the pore-size distribution of the porous medium, capillary instabilities develop and control the spatial distribution of the invading non-wetting fluid and therefore the localization of melting. The viscosity ratio between the two fluids can have a strong influence on the development of capillary instability and the discharge of non-wetting fluid through the porous medium. Our calculations assume for simplicity a viscosity ratio of 1 between the two fluid phases; the importance of the viscosity ratio will be assessed in further studies.

The problem we are solving is described by mass conservation for each of the two fluids and the solid fraction in the porous medium,

\begin{align}
\frac{\partial \rho_s(x)}{\partial t} &= -\Gamma_m(x), \quad (2.1) \\
\frac{\partial \rho_w(x)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_w(x)u_w(x)) &= \Gamma_m(x), \quad (2.2) \\
\frac{\partial \rho_{nw}(x)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_{nw}(x)u_{nw}(x)) &= \Gamma_{inj}(x), \quad (2.3)
\end{align}
where $\Gamma_m$ is the melting rate, $\Gamma_{inj}$ is the mass injection rate of non-wetting fluid, $\rho_s$, $\rho_w$ and $\rho_{nw}$ are respectively the local density of solid, wetting and non-wetting fluids, $u_w$ and $u_{nw}$ are the wetting and non-wetting fluid velocities. The injection rate of non-wetting fluid can be described in terms of an injection capillary number $Ca = q_{nw}\mu_{nw}/(\gamma R^2)$ (Lenormand et al. 1988; Ewing & Berkowitz 1998), where $q_{nw}$ is the volume rate of injected non-wetting fluid, $\gamma$ is the surface tension between the two fluids and $R$ is a reference length scale here fixed to the average pore radius size. Defining the local injection rate per unit volume $\phi_q$, 

$$q_{nw} = \int_V \phi_q \, dV,$$

where the volume $V$ here includes the porous medium plus the inlet and outlet chambers, $\Gamma_{inj}$ becomes

$$\Gamma_{inj} = \rho_{nw} \phi_q, \quad \int_V \Gamma_{inj} \, dV = \frac{\rho_{nw} \gamma R^2 Ca}{\mu_{nw}}.$$ (2.5)

Momentum conservation for the two fluids yields

$$\frac{\partial \rho_w(x) u_w(x)}{\partial t} + (\rho_w(x) u_w(x) \cdot \nabla) u_w(x) = \nabla \cdot T_w(x) + \Phi_w(x),$$ (2.6)

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{nw}(x) u_{nw}(x)}{\partial t} + (\rho_{nw}(x) u_{nw}(x) \cdot \nabla) u_{nw}(x) = \nabla \cdot T_{nw}(x) + \Phi_{nw}(x),$$ (2.7)

where $T$ is the stress tensor, $\Phi_w$ and $\Phi_{nw}$ are inertial terms associated with, respectively, melting and injection:

$$\Phi_w(x) = \Gamma_m(x) u_w(x),$$ (2.8)

$$\Phi_{nw}(x) = \Gamma_{inj}(x) u_{nw}(x).$$ (2.9)

The stress tensor for each fluid phase is given by

$$T_i = -p I + \mu_i (\nabla u_i + \nabla u_i^T) + \rho_i (g \cdot x) I,$$ (2.10)

where $I$ is the identity matrix, $p$ is the pressure and $g$ is the acceleration due to gravity. Normalizing velocities by $\mathcal{U} = (\rho_w - \rho_{nw}) g R^2/\mu_w$ and length scales by the average pore radius $R$, the stress jump associated with surface tension at the interface between the two fluids becomes (Stone & Leal 1990; Pozrikidis 1992; Manga & Stone 1993)

$$n \cdot T^s_w(x) - \lambda n \cdot T^s_{nw}(x) = \frac{1}{Bo} (\nabla_s \cdot n) n - (\hat{g} \cdot x) n,$$ (2.11)

where the superscript $*$ refers to a dimensionless variable, $x$ is located at the interface between the two fluids, $n$ is the outward normal to the interface, $\nabla_s$ is the gradient along the interface, $Bo = \Delta \rho g R^2/\gamma$ is the Bond number and $\lambda = \mu_{nw}/\mu_w$.

Finally, we solve for the conservation of enthalpy for the three phases

$$\frac{\partial (\rho_s c_s T_s)}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot Q_s,$$ (2.12)

$$\frac{\partial (\rho_w c_w T_w)}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot Q_w,$$ (2.13)

$$\frac{\partial (\rho_{nw} c_{nw} T_{nw})}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot Q_{nw},$$ (2.14)
where \( T_i \) refers to the temperature of phase \( i \), \( c_i \) to the specific heat and \( \mathbf{Q}_i \) the heat flux

\[
\mathbf{Q}_i(x) = -k_i \nabla T_i(x),
\]

(2.15)

\[
\mathbf{Q}_w(x) = \rho_w(x)c_w u_w(x)T_w(x) - k_w \nabla T_w(x),
\]

(2.16)

\[
\mathbf{Q}_{nw}(x) = \rho_{nw}(x)c_{nw} u_{nw}(x)T_{nw}(x) - k_{nw} \nabla T_{nw}(x),
\]

(2.17)

with \( k_i \) the thermal conductivity of phase \( i \). Melting is introduced by matching the heat fluxes across the solid–fluid interfaces. Using the same velocity and length scales as for the stress jump at the fluids interface, we obtain an explicit formulation for \( \Gamma_m(x) \):

\[
u^*_w(x)T^*_w(x) = \frac{1}{\xi \lambda Pe} \nabla^* T^*_w(x) + \Lambda u^*_w(x)T^*_w(x) - \frac{1}{Pe} \nabla^* T^*_w(x)
\]

\[- \frac{1}{\lambda St} \nabla^* T^*_s + \frac{1}{\lambda St} \mathcal{B} \Gamma_m(x),
\]

(2.18)

where \( St = c_{nw}(T_0 - T_m)/L_f, T_0 \) is the temperature of the non-wetting fluid at the injection, \( T_m \) is the melting temperature of the fertile fraction of the porous medium \( (T_0 > T_m) \), \( L_f \) is the latent heat of fusion, \( \Lambda = \rho_{nw}c_{nw}/(\rho_w c_w) \), \( \xi = \kappa_{nw}/\kappa_w \), \( Pe = \mathcal{A} R/(\kappa_{nw}) \) is the Péclet number for the non-wetting fluid, \( \mathcal{A} = \rho_w c_w R^2/\kappa_w \) and \( \mathcal{B} = (\rho_w R^2)^{-1} \). In (2.18), we assumed that the fertile solid fraction melts as a pure substance (fixed melting temperature \( T_m \)), similarly to a Stefan problem.

This set of governing equations, together with the set of stress and heat transfer boundary conditions between the different phases, fully describes the dynamical evolution of the system for a given (evolving) porous matrix geometry. The following section presents the numerical strategy we developed to solve this complex and highly coupled set of equations.

3. Numerical model

Our choice of numerical method is dictated by the necessity of solving for the multiphase dynamics at the pore scale (i) to avoid resorting to poorly constrained constitutive equations, and (ii) to solve for the reactive process and the feedback between flow and reactant transport associated with melting/dissolution. The field of multiphase flows in porous media has an extensive literature, an important part of which is devoted to numerical models for investigating fingering phenomena in porous media. A first-class model assumes a Darcy-scale description of the multiphase flow and is based on various extensions of the Richards equation (RE). The stability of the standard RE to fingering has been shown and demonstrated rigorously by Eliassi & Glass (2001), Egorov et al. (2003), van Duijn, Pieters & Raats (2004), Nieber et al. (2005) and Fürst et al. (2009). Several authors have modified RE successfully to reproduce fingering instabilities observed in the context of laboratory experiments (Nieber et al. 2003, 2005). Chapwanya & Stockie (2010) showed that, in the context of RE, the growth of fingering instabilities requires the inclusion of non-equilibrium effects to correct for the evolution of the capillary pressure in response to saturation changes. This is usually done by introducing a relaxation to equilibrium, but the choice of the relaxation functional form remains an open question (Chapwanya & Stockie 2010). Cueto-Felgueroso & Juanes (2008, 2009a,b) used a different approach in analogy with low-Reynolds-number gravity currents (Huppert 1982) to justify the introduction of an additional term in RE that allows for fingering instabilities to grow. Nevertheless, the latter model still requires constitutive equations to account for pore-scale effects at the Darcy scale, such as the relative permeability–saturation
relationship and the macroscopic surface tension. These constitutive equations are very sensitive to the topology of the porous medium and, for realistic geometries, cannot be derived from first principles.

Another field of numerical investigations for the invasion of a fluid in a saturated porous medium is based on pore-network models (Lenormand et al. 1988; Ewing & Berkowitz 1998; Blunt 2001). These models solve a simplified dynamics at the pore scale, where every pore is fully saturated by one phase or the other. The capillary coupling between the two phases is then greatly simplified. The scale of resolution of these models is one node per pore, no gradients of flow velocity or scalar fields can be computed, and as a result these models cannot solve for solute transport by one of the two fluid phases.

The ideal choice of numerical method for the present study is dictated by the following considerations: (i) the ability to compute the multiphase dynamics at the pore scale, (ii) the ability to handle complex-moving boundaries in a simple and consistent fashion, fluid–fluid and fluid–solid interfaces, (iii) the ability to deal with bubble coalescence and breakup, and (iv) efficient parallelization so that the number of pores is large enough to draw significant results from the calculations. Several numerical methods have been proposed to solve the pore-scale dynamics explicitly, such as the volume of fluid (Hirt & Nichols 1981; Huang, Meakin & Liu 2005) and level set methods (Osher & Sethian 1988). These methods usually require a front-tracking algorithm for the fluid–fluid interface and become computationally intense when dealing with a large number of bubbles, with coalescence and breakup and bounded by a complex (and for us time-dependent) solid topology. Meakin & Tartakovsky (2009) present a discussion of the advantages and limitations of various numerical techniques for pore-scale reactive flow calculations, they highlight that the lattice Boltzmann method offers an efficient alternative for large-scale computations of multiphase flows in complex porous media. Another advantage of using LB models for immiscible flows over models based on Darcy-scale approximations or pore-network models, is that it allows us to resolve pore-scale dynamics and moving boundaries (melting) naturally (Huber et al. 2008).

3.1. The lattice Boltzmann equation and the Bhatnagar–Gross–Krook collision operator

The quantity of interest in the lattice Boltzmann method is the discrete particle distribution function $f_i$. In analogy with statistical mechanics, $f_i(\mathbf{x}, t)$ expresses the probability of finding a particle that enters a lattice node $\mathbf{x}$ at time $t$ along a given lattice velocity $\mathbf{u}_i$ (set of vectors connecting nearest neighbours lattice nodes, along which the $f_i$ are allowed to stream). The evolution of the $f_i$ is described by a discrete version of the Boltzmann equation. In general, the complex collision term in Boltzmann’s equation is replaced by the simple BGK approximation (Bhatnagar, Gross & Krook 1954), the discrete Boltzmann equation becomes

$$f_i(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}_i \Delta t, t + \Delta t) - f_i(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\omega(f_i(\mathbf{x}, t) - f_i^{eq}(\mathbf{x}, t))$$

(3.1)

where $\Delta t$ is the discrete time step. The first term on the right-hand side of (3.1) represents the BGK rule for collision between the $f_i$. The BGK collision expresses how the $f_i$ after collision, relax to the local equilibrium distribution function $f_i^{eq}$ with a single relaxation frequency $\omega$. The choice of relaxation frequency $\omega$ controls the kinematic viscosity of the fluid

$$\frac{\mu}{\rho} = c_s^2 \frac{\Delta x^2}{\Delta t} \left( \frac{1}{\omega} - \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

(3.2)
where $c^2$ is the sound speed of the lattice (a constant that depends on the spatial discretization used) and $\Delta x$ is the grid spacing. The $f_i^{eq}$ are obtained from a second-order Taylor expansion of the Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution. An additional source/sink term $\Xi_i$ can be introduced into the right-hand side of (3.1). This source/sink term allows us to include reactive processes (Kang, Lichtner & Zhang 2006; Huber et al. 2008) or external body forces (Guo, Zheng & Shi 2002b; Latt et al. 2010). In order to model complex natural systems, the governing equations have to be properly coupled. We use a multi-distribution function (MDF) approach (Shan 1997; Guo, Shi & Zheng 2002a; Parmigiani et al. 2009) to address this particular issue. In the MDF approach, any conservation law associated with different transport properties (i.e. viscosity, diffusivity etc.) is described with a different set of particle distribution functions $f_i$.

In this study, we developed a lattice Boltzmann model that couples a well-established multiphase flow model (multi-component Shan–Chen method: Shan & Chen 1993) with a thermal model that solves for the enthalpy conservation in a porous medium. The thermal model we use allows for melting (dissolution) of the solid matrix and is based on the melting model of Huber et al. (2008). Although the individual components (melting, multiphase flow) of the numerical model have been tested and validated in other studies (see the validation section below), their combination is new and allows us to investigate the pore-scale evolution of a porous medium subjected to dissolution during the invasion of a reactive non-wetting fluid. Understanding the possible feedbacks between capillary instabilities (Saffman–Taylor instability) that enhance the transport of reactant and dissolution which affects the evolution of the capillary fingers is challenging and, to our knowledge, this is the first attempt to quantify these processes.

In order to obtain results that are significant beyond the pore scale (to introduce corrections into Darcy equation), we use a crystal nucleation and growth algorithm derived from the model of Avrami (1940) and modified by Hersum & Marsh (2006) to generate a crystalline porous matrix that is large enough (order of several thousands of pores) to yield statistically significant results. In this work, all calculations used a synthetic porous media matrix composed with $\sim$20,000 crystals with various shapes and sizes representing a grid with $200 \times 200 \times 300$ lattice nodes (see figure 2). The mean pore radius is $\sim$10 grid nodes (this length scale will be used later as the characteristic length to normalize distances). In this work, we build a solid matrix with two different crystal species, assuming that they have different melting temperatures. The first type of crystal occupies initially 38\% (see figure 2a) of the sample volume and has a melting temperature $T = T_m$ that corresponds to the initial temperature of the wetting fluid and porous medium. The second crystal family represents 21\% (see figure 2b) of the sample volume, and was set with a melting temperature such that it will never melt during the course of our calculations.

The initial porosity of our synthetic sample is 0.41 (see figure 2). This lattice size and the number of distribution functions involved are computationally challenging. The local nature of the LB and the efficiency of the code we used, based on the Palabos platform (Palabos 2010), allowed us to perform approximately 60 calculations at four different $St$ numbers and four different $Pe$ numbers, where each simulation was run on 2000 processors for running times ranging from 12 to 60 h.

### 3.2. LB scheme for immiscible fluid flows in porous media

The lattice Boltzmann community has developed a variety of models for multiphase and multi-component fluid flow applications. These different LB algorithms can be
grouped into two classes depending on their approach to modelling non-ideal fluid behaviours. In a first class of models (Swift et al. 1996; He, Chen & Zhang 1999), the definition of a free energy function is required. This choice leads to a continuum mathematical formulation described by the Cahn–Hilliard theory (Cahn & Hilliard 1958). A second class of models is based on first principles for the microscopic interaction between the two fluids (Gunstensen et al. 1991; Shan & Doolen 1995). These models converge to the continuum mass and momentum conservation for a slightly compressible multi-component mixture of fluids (Shan & Doolen 1995).

All these models, however, belong to a more general class diffuse-interface methods (Anderson, McFadden & Wheeler 1998). For the case of an immiscible binary mixture, this means that when the equilibrium state is reached, the two fluids are separated by an interface of finite thickness $\delta$. The mean field nature of the LB algorithms allows us to study capillary effects between the different phases (e.g. deformable interfaces, coalescence processes) without having to track the evolution of a deformable interface in complex geometries, in contrast to volume of fluid (Hirt & Nichols 1981; Huang et al. 2005) or level set methods (Osher & Sethian 1988).

For this work, we use the multi-component Shan–Chen (S–C) method for immiscible fluid flow (Shan & Chen 1993; Shan & Doolen 1995). This model has been applied successfully to the study of capillary instabilities (Hagedorn, Martys & Douglas 2004) and wetting properties in both static and dynamic settings for both two-dimensional and three-dimensional geometries (Kang, Zhang & Chen 2005; Huang et al. 2007). Furthermore, the implementation of no-slip surfaces on the solid matrix is straightforward, it is accomplished by a reflection of the incoming particle distribution function on solid nodes (bounce-back method), and favours this model for multi-component fluid flow application in porous media. For example, the S–C scheme has been applied to invasion percolation processes and the determination of relative permeabilities for packed sphere as well as more complex natural geometries (Martys & Chen 1996; Olson & Rothman 1997; Sukop & Or 2003; Pan, Hilpert & Miller 2007; Schaap et al. 2007; Sukop et al. 2008; Boek & Venturoli 2010). A few studies,
however, underline a lack of flexibility and accuracy of the method when fluids with different viscosities are investigated (Chin, Boek & Coveney 2002; Grosfils, Boon, Chin & Boek 2004).

For the case of the S–C binary mixture model, \( f_i^{nw,eq} \) and \( f_i^{w,eq} \) are defined by

\[
f_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}}(x, t) = w_i \rho_{\sigma} \left[ 1 + \frac{v_i \cdot u_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}}}{c_s^2} + \frac{(v_i \cdot u_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}})^2}{2c_s^2} - \frac{u_{\sigma}^{\text{eq2}}}{2c_s^2} \right], \tag{3.3}
\]

where \( c_s \) is a constant characteristic of the lattice topology called speed of sound and \( \sigma = nw, w \). The density and momentum for each component \( \sigma \) are defined by \( \rho_{\sigma} = \sum f_i^{\sigma} \) and \( \rho_{\sigma} u_{\sigma} = \sum_i v_i f_i^{\sigma} \cdot u_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}} \) in (3.3) is determined by the relation

\[
u_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}} = \frac{\sum_{\sigma} \rho_{\sigma} u_{\sigma} \omega_{\sigma}}{\sum_{\sigma} \rho_{\sigma} \omega_{\sigma}} + \frac{F_{\sigma}}{\omega_{\sigma} \rho_{\sigma}}, \tag{3.4}
\]

where \( F_{\sigma} = F_{\sigma}^{\text{coh}} + F_{\sigma}^{\text{ads}} + F_{\sigma}^{b} \) is a sum over the fluid–fluid and fluid–solid interaction forces, \( F_{\sigma}^{\text{coh}} \) are the cohesion forces responsible for phase separation and surface tension, \( F_{\sigma}^{\text{ads}} \) are the adhesion forces between the solid boundaries and the fluids, and \( F_{\sigma}^{b} \) are the external body forces.

In the S–C method the interaction force between the different phases (the cohesion forces) is given by

\[
F_{\sigma}^{\text{ coh}}(x, t) = -\rho_{\sigma}(x, t)G^{\text{ coh}} \sum_i w_i \rho_{\bar{\sigma}}(x + v_i \Delta t) v_i, \tag{3.5}
\]

where \( \sigma \) and \( \bar{\sigma} \) are, respectively, the first and second fluid phases, \( G^{\text{ coh}} \) is a free parameter that controls the surface tension between the two fluids and \( \rho \) is the density of the fluid.

Similarly, the adhesion force between particles of the fluid \( \sigma \) and the solid boundary, can be calculated with

\[
F_{\sigma}^{\text{ ads}}(x, t) = -\rho_{\sigma}(x, t)G_{\sigma}^{\text{ ads}} \sum_i w_i s_{\bar{\sigma}}(x + v_i \Delta t) v_i, \tag{3.6}
\]

where the solid nodes are represented as a phase with constant density \( s \). \( G_{\sigma}^{\text{ ads}} \) determines the strength of the interaction between particles of species \( \sigma \) and the solid boundary, and, as a consequence, governs the wetting properties of the fluid.

Body forces can be introduced with

\[
F_{\sigma}^{b}(x, t) = \Delta \rho_{\sigma} g, \tag{3.7}
\]

where \( g \) is the body force per unit mass. The body force term can be used to implement buoyancy force effects due to density difference between different phases (which in our calculations greatly exceed thermal expansion effects). The local density and velocity of the multiphase mixture can be respectively calculated with

\[
\rho_{\text{ tot}} = \sum_{\sigma} \rho_{\sigma}, \quad u_{\text{ tot}} = \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{ tot}}} \left[ \left( \sum_{\sigma} \sum_i f_i^{\sigma} v_i \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} F_{\sigma} \right]. \tag{3.8}
\]

For three-dimensional calculations, we use a lattice topology with 19 velocities for both fluids (the so-called D3Q19 lattice). In the D3Q19 lattice, the velocities vectors
linking neighbour nodes \( v_i \) and weights \( w_i \) are given by
\[
 v_i = \begin{cases} 
 (0, 0, 0), & i = 0, \\
 (\pm 1, 0, 0), (0, \pm 1, 0), (0, 0, \pm 1), & i = 1-6, \\
 (\pm 1, \pm 1, 0), (\pm 1, 0, \pm 1), (0, \pm 1, \pm 1), & i = 7-18, 
\end{cases}
\]
(3.9)

\[
w_0 = 1/3, \quad w_{1-6} = 1/18, \quad w_{7-18} = 1/36.
\]
(3.10)
The speed of sound \( c_s \) for this lattice is equal to \( \sqrt{1/3} \).

3.3. Pure substance melting

Our study focuses on modelling the exchange of heat between the solid, wetting and non-wetting phases. For simplicity, we assume that the wetting fluid and the fertile solid are two phases of the same pure substance. The enthalpy conservation is modelled with a single relaxation time LB passive scalar approach (Chopard, Falcone & Latt 2009). The algorithm for the evolution of the enthalpy (temperature and melt fraction) is similar to (3.1). We define a new set of particle distribution functions \( g_i \) evolving according to (3.1). The proper choice of equilibrium distribution for an advection–diffusion process is
\[
g_i^{eq} = s_i T \left( 1 + \frac{1}{c_s^2} e_i \cdot u^{tot} \right),
\]
(3.11)
where \( e_i \) and \( s_i \) are the lattice velocities and weights specific for this model. The enthalpy is defined by \( \mathcal{H} = cT + f_L L_f \), where \( c \) is the specific heat, \( T \) is the temperature, \( f_L \) is the local liquid fraction \( (0 \leq f_L \leq 1) \) and \( L_f \) is the latent heat of fusion of the solid phase. Heat is advected with the local fluid velocity \( u^{tot} \) (see (3.8)), and diffuses with diffusion coefficient \( \kappa = c_s^2 \Delta x^2 (1/\omega_h - 0.5) / \Delta t \), where \( \omega_h \) is the relaxation frequency similarly to (3.1).

We assume that the thermal diffusivity for the solid and wetting fluid is identical and we impose a constant diffusivity ratio \( \xi = \kappa_w / \kappa_{nw} = 7 \), where \( \kappa_w \) and \( \kappa_{nw} \) are the thermal diffusivities for the wetting and non-wetting phases, respectively. The choice for this thermal diffusivity ratio is arbitrary, but in the present study, it is motivated by the application where hot volatiles exsolved from a new injection of magma rise through a colder and more crystal-rich magma chamber, which has been hypothesized to play an important role in the thermal evolution of magmatic systems in the shallow crust (Bachmann & Bergantz 2006; Huber et al. 2010).

Based on the enthalpy method (Patankar 1980), we model the pure substance phase change between the fertile solid fraction and the wetting fluid by introducing a source (crystallization) or sink (melting) term \( \Xi_i \) as in Huber et al. (2008). The temperature and the local enthalpy are obtained respectively as
\[
T(x, t) = \sum_i g_i(x, t), \quad \mathcal{H}(x, t) = cT(x, t) + L_f f_i(x, t - 1).
\]
(3.12)

In the melting algorithm, once \( \mathcal{H}(x, t) \) is obtained, it is used to calculate the new melt fraction \( f_i \) at time step \( t \):
\[
f_i = \begin{cases} 
 0 & \mathcal{H} < \mathcal{H}_s = cT_m, \\
 \frac{\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_s}{\mathcal{H}_i - \mathcal{H}_s} & \mathcal{H}_s \leq \mathcal{H} \leq \mathcal{H}_s + L_f, \\
 1 & \mathcal{H} > \mathcal{H}_s + L_f.
\end{cases}
\]
(3.13)
In (3.13) \( \mathcal{H}_l \) and \( \mathcal{H}_s \) correspond to the enthalpy of the liquidus and solidus respectively. Using this framework, \( \Xi_i \) is calculated with

\[
\Xi_i = s_i \frac{L_f}{c} (f_i(x, t) - f_i(x, t - 1)).
\] (3.14)

In our three-dimensional calculations, we use a seven-velocity lattice (D3Q7), for which \( c_s = 1/4 \), and the discrete velocities \( e_i \) and weights are

\[
e_i = \begin{cases} 
(0, 0, 0) & a = 0, \\
(\pm 1, 0, 0), (0, \pm 1, 0), (0, 0, \pm 1) & a = 1 - 6,
\end{cases}
\] (3.15)

\[
s_0 = 1/4, \quad s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = s_4 = s_5 = s_6 = 1/8.
\] (3.16)

### 3.4. Validation

Our numerical model has been constructed incrementally and combines well-established algorithms, e.g. the Shan–Chen model for multiphase flows, with numerical models we developed and published recently, e.g. the advection–diffusion model with pure substance melting (Shan & Chen 1993; Huber et al. 2008). The nonlinearity of the pore-scale dynamics of multiphase flows in a slowly dissolving porous medium prevented us from directly comparing the model to either analytical solutions or laboratory experiments. The lack of published results for laboratory experiments investigating the effect of matrix dissolution on the evolution of capillary fingers prevents a comparison with our numerical results: this issue can be explained by the difficulty of designing suitable experiments. First, as our results show (see next section), the dissolution rate has a strong influence on the overall evolution of the multiphase flow. Natural applications are generally characterized by slow dissolution processes, such as, for example, the thermal evolution of crystal-rich magma bodies (crystal mush) in the shallow crust during the buoyant invasion of hot volatiles exsolved by new injections of magma \( (St < 0.1) \). In that context, the monitoring of the flow field at the pore scale during scaled experiments (at least in terms of dissolution rates \( St \)), is challenging. Our validation methodology is therefore restricted to testing the different components (melting, multiphase fluid dynamics) of the numerical model individually on simpler problems. We present below a list of benchmark calculations our model was tested with, and, when necessary, refer to published results for well-established algorithms (the Shan–Chen multiphase model).

Huber et al. (2008) extended the model of Jiaung, Ho & Kuo (2001) for conduction melting to the problem of melting by both advection and diffusion. The algorithm has been benchmarked, first, with the Stefan problem for one-dimensional diffusion, where numerical solutions can be compared to the analytical solution found by Neumann. Figure 3 shows a comparison of the propagation of the melting front and snapshots of temperature profiles with the analytical solutions for different (dimensionless) thermal diffusivities. Lower dimensionless diffusivities yield a more accurate result as they are equivalent with calculations with shorter time steps. Huber et al. (2008) also successfully tested the numerical model for melting in a square, initially solid enclosure, where natural convection is initiated. This problem has been the subject of laboratory experiments (Bénard, Gobin & Martinez 2006), and the focus of a theoretical study by Jany & Bejan (1988). Moreover, different studies used this problem as a numerical benchmark for moving boundary calculations (see for example Bertrand et al. 1999; Bénard et al. 2006). Figure 4 shows an example of the temporal evolution of the melting enclosure (first two rows, heated well on the left) and a snapshot to reveal the temperature, melt fraction and velocity field in the partially
molten enclosure. Huber et al. (2008) showed that their numerical model was in good agreement with the scaling relationships of Jany & Bejan (1988), with experimental results (Bénard et al. 2006) and with results obtained with front-tracking numerical models (Bertrand et al. 1999). For more details about the accuracy and stability of the model, readers are referred to Huber et al. (2008).

The multiphase flow model we chose, the Shan–Chen model, has been used extensively over the last decade. It has been tested successfully for both static and
FIGURE 4. (Colour online) Example of natural convection melting in a square enclosure. The left wall is kept at constant temperature (above the melting temperature of the solid) and the whole enclosure is solid initially. Convection develops and leads to the propagation of the melting front to the right (faster at the top of the enclosure because of convection). These calculations have been benchmarked with other numerical models, laboratory experiments and scaling relationships; for more details see Huber et al. (2008). l.u., lattice units.

dynamical problems (Kang et al. 2005; Huang et al. 2007). In figure 5(a), we show a two-dimensional static validation of the model with the Laplace–Young law, which predicts a linear relationship between the pressure difference across the fluid–fluid interface and the radius of the bubble. Figure 5(b) shows the dependence of the surface tension on the free parameter $C^{coh}$ in two dimensions. Similar validations for
two- or three-dimensional static problems with the Shan–Chen model can be found in several publications (see for example Huang et al. 2007).

The Shan–Chen model has often been favoured over other lattice Boltzmann multiphase flow models for studying flows in complex geometries (porous media) owing to its simplicity when enforcing no-slip conditions at the fluid–solid interface. Several recent studies (see for example Auzerais et al. 1996; Coles et al. 1998; Schaap et al. 2007; Sukop et al. 2008) compared their numerical calculations with digital images of natural samples obtained by X-ray tomography and found a good agreement at both the microscale (location of the fluid phases) and the macroscale (capillary pressure-saturation conditions).

### 3.5. Boundary conditions

Proper inlet–outlet boundary conditions for immiscible fluid flows have not yet been developed in the framework of the Shan–Chen method. Velocity boundary conditions for multiphase immiscible fluids are problematic, because of the dynamical coupling between both fluids through surface tension. A suitable velocity boundary condition requires a way to impose a velocity (or velocity gradient) on each fluid that does not introduce spurious effects through the viscous drag and surface tension between the two fluids. The challenge becomes even more daunting in a dynamical system where the conditions evolve at the boundary. For pressure-driven flows, injection and outlet conditions can, however, be obtained with pressure boundary conditions (Zou & He 1997).

Pressure-driven condition is a convenient assumption in many industrial applications. In nature, however, multiphase flows in porous media can also be buoyancy-driven, which is the case we focus on in this study. Our choice of boundary conditions follows Latt et al. (2004): the invading fluid is injected by creating a bubble inside an apposite region of the numerical domain (injection chamber). The injection of the invading fluid is performed by imposing a local density value \( \sum f_{i}^{\text{inv}} \) with momentum \( \sum f_{i}^{\text{inv}} v_{i} \) equal to zero (bubble at rest initially). The newly created bubbles rise away from
their injection site because of their positive buoyancy (a buoyancy force is applied to the non-wetting phase only, as in (3.7)). The injection rate of non-wetting fluid is determined by the volume of each bubble and the period between successive injections. The position of the injection site, the period between injections and the volume of the bubbles can be varied over a single calculation to obtain a pseudo-random injection process.

Initially, as the injection chamber is capped by a region of low porosity (see figure 6), a layer of non-wetting fluid can form at the bottom of the low-porosity region. In this non-wetting fluid layer (see figure 6), the pressure increases until it exceeds a critical capillary pressure and the non-wetting fluid invades the porous medium (see figure 7a).

The injection of non-wetting fluid in the inlet chamber can, however, be prone to numerical instabilities and has to be conducted carefully. In order to avoid numerical instabilities, we use the following procedure: during the first half of the injection process, the local density of the non-wetting fluid is increased linearly with time until a fixed maximum value of density is reached. During the second half of the period of injection, the local density is kept constant. This allows us to slowly increase the magnitude of the cohesion force ((3.5); note that the magnitude of the cohesion force (3.6) is proportional to density) and slowly build up the interface of separation between the two immiscible fluids. The temperature of injected bubbles is initially at equilibrium with the temperature in the injection chamber, equal to $T_0$, and above the melting temperature of the fertile solid fraction.

The outlet region is located at the top of the porous medium (see figure 1). Once the non-wetting phase reaches the outlet, its density and enthalpy are absorbed exponentially over 70 nodes above the porous medium. The distance over which density and temperature are decaying and the strength of the decay (decay constant) at the outlet are set by the optimal parameters (e.g. exponential decay rate, size of outlet), where the effect of the outlet boundary is the smallest on the different field variables upstream (temperature, pore-scale velocity). These optimal parameters were found numerically.

4. Results and discussion

The injection of a non-wetting fluid phase in a saturated porous medium has been the subject of numerous studies over the last half-century. The different dynamical regimes that describe the distribution of the invading fluid phase (here non-wetting, i.e. capillary instability, viscous fingering instability and stable front propagation) are generally cast in terms of three dimensionless numbers (Lenormand et al. 1988; Ewing & Berkowitz 1998; Blunt 2001):

$$Ca = \frac{q_{nw} \mu_{nw}}{R^2 \gamma}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{capillary number,} \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

$$Bo = \frac{\Delta \rho g R^2}{\gamma}$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{Bond number,} \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

$$\lambda = \frac{\mu_{nw}}{\mu_w}$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{viscosity ratio,} \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

where the indices $w, nw$ respectively refer to the invading non-wetting and defending wetting fluids, $\mu$ is the dynamical viscosity, $\gamma$ the surface tension between the two fluids, $\Delta \rho$ their density difference, $q_{nw}$ the volume of invading fluid injected in the porous medium per unit time and $R$ a characteristic length scale of the
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Figure 6. (Colour online) Bubble formation at the injection chamber. Bubbles of non-wetting fluid (density contour in red/dark grey) are periodically generated at different locations inside an inlet region. The latter is capped by the porous medium (solids fraction in light grey). The non-wetting bubbles ascend buoyantly to the bottom of the porous medium. If the permeability contrast between the inlet and the porous medium is large, bubbles coalesce and form a capillary layer of non-wetting fluid.

System, hereafter set to the average pore radius. In § 2, we showed that two more dimensionless numbers are introduced for reactive flows: the Stefan number, which gives the ratio of the amount of enthalpy associated with the dissolution/melting of the matrix to the amount of enthalpy stored in the system, and the ratio of reactant diffusivity for the two fluid phases. The following discussion applies to any type of reactive flow where the reaction is linear. To simplify the notation, we assume hereafter a thermal problem where the reactant corresponds to the excess enthalpy.
FIGURE 7. (Colour online) The porous medium, initially saturated with a wetting fluid (transparent here), is invaded by the buoyant non-wetting fluid injected at its base. The initial porosity of the porous medium is 0.41. The buoyant non-wetting fluid is depicted in red/dark grey. (a–c) The build-up of a capillary layer before the pressure in the stalled non-wetting-fluid exceeds a critical capillary pressure. In (c), we observe that the thickness of the capillary layer at the base of the porous medium decreases before reaching a quasi-steady state (for low dissolution rates) when the non-wetting phase has reached the outlet, where the non-wetting phase is absorbed with a constant rate in time.

In the definitions above, \( \Delta T = T_0 - T_m \) is the temperature difference between the injected non-wetting fluid and the melting temperature of the fraction of the matrix susceptible to melting, \( c \) is the specific heat, and \( L_f \) is the latent heat of fusion. In all the calculations presented here, \( \lambda \) and \( \xi \) are fixed to respectively 1 and 1/7. These values are fixed to reduce the number of free parameters in this study and to ensure numerical stability and accuracy with the present numerical method (limitation with \( \lambda \)). In the following section, we explore the effect of the different dimensionless numbers on the heat and mass transfer associated with the injection of a superheated invading fluid. We start with the Stefan number and discuss the importance of melting on the mass transfer of invading fluid.

4.1. The effect of the melting/dissolution (Stefan number)

Let us first define a stable capillary channel as a connected channel of the non-wetting phase (formed by a capillary instability) that remains connected and active for heat and mass transfer throughout the course of one of our calculations. In this section, we discuss the stability of these non-wetting fluid channels to the partial melting/dissolution of the porous matrix. We focus on the dynamics of capillary
St = 0.01  St = 0.1  St = 1.0
(a)  (b)  (c)

**FIGURE 8.** (Colour online) Comparison between the non-wetting phase distribution in three calculations with different melting efficiencies ($St$). At low $St$ (a), channels of non-wetting fluid are well-defined and stable. As the melting efficiency increases (increasing $St$), channels become less stable (b, c) and eventually break into slugs or bubbles. Note in transparency the decrease in crystallinity (increase in porosity) in the lower part of the texture because of melting.

channels as they control the heat transfer beyond the melting front associated with heat diffusion and host the majority of the mass transport of non-wetting fluid through the porous matrix.

Although elongated channels of fluid embedded in an unbounded and immiscible viscous or inviscid ambient fluid have been shown to be unstable to capillary forces (see for example Newhouse & Pozrikidis 1992; Eggers 1993; Papageorgiou 1995; Chen & Steen 1997; Day, Hinch & Lister 1998; Zhang & Lister 1999; Sierou & Lister 2003; Quan & Hua 2008), the effect of confining solid boundaries and steady flow through the channel have been suggested to slow down the breakup of the channel into bubbles or slugs (Tomotika 1935; Hagedorn et al. 2004). In a dynamical setting such as when capillary channels invade a porous medium, however, these structures are known to be able to remain stable over the duration of laboratory or numerical experiments as long as the injection rate of the invading fluid remains constant. One could therefore argue that the confinement of the two fluid phases in a porous medium and a steady injection rate of invading fluid that can sustain a high local saturation of invading fluid in the channel are able to stabilize the channel at least over much greater time scales.

The topology of the porous medium, and therefore of the buoyancy-driven capillary channels of non-wetting fluid, are complex (see figure 13). Our calculations clearly show the influence of the Stefan number on the stability of channels (figure 8). In order to better understand qualitatively the role of melting/dissolution in the dynamics of the invading fluid, we assume a much simpler conceptual model where the non-wetting phase occupies a perfect cylindrical channel at the centre of a cylindrical tube wetted by the other fluid (axisymmetrical annular flow). Figure 9(a) shows the simplified geometry in two dimensions, and defines some useful notation. We first assume a multiphase axisymmetrical flow with constant radius $R_g$ (radius of the
Figure 9. (Colour online) Conceptual model of axisymmetrical annular flow. (a) The steady-state flow expected when the channel as a constant radius. In (b) we see that, when a section of the channel is wider ($R'_1 > R_1$), mass conservation imposes that the flow is slowed down in the wider region (see (4.11)). The varying radius introduces curvature at the interface between the non-wetting and wetting fluids and, together with the longitudinal stretching of the channel above the wider region, destabilizes the channel. See the text for more details.

The solution to this annular flow is

$$u(r) = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{1}{4\mu_w} \frac{dp}{dz}(R^2 - r^2) & R_g \leq r \leq R_1, \\
-\frac{1}{4\mu_{nw}} \frac{dp}{dz}(R^2 - r^2) - \frac{1}{4\mu_w} \frac{dp}{dz}(R_1^2 - R^2_g) & 0 \leq r \leq R_g,
\end{cases}$$

(4.6)

where $z$ is the direction along the axis of the cylinder, $dp/dz$ is the dynamical pressure gradient causing the flow and $\mu_{nw}$, $\mu_w$ are respectively the viscosity of the non-wetting and wetting fluid. The angle $\theta$ between the velocity gradient in the radial direction at $R_g$ is related to the viscosity ratio $\lambda$,

$$\theta = \left( \tan^{-1} \left( \left. \frac{du}{dr} \right|_{R^+_g} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left( \left. \frac{du}{dr} \right|_{R^+_g} \lambda \right) \right),$$

(4.7)

where $\lambda = \mu_{nw}/\mu_w$ and $R^+_g$ is the limit $R_g + \epsilon > R_g$ for $\epsilon \to 0$.

In order to see how the non-wetting fluid channel behaves when a section of the confining solid is subjected to melting, we assume that the radius $R_1$ is perturbed to a new radius $R'_1 > R_1$ over a depth $\Delta z$. To simplify the discussion, we assume that only a small subregion of the channel was affected by melting (because of heterogeneous solid melting temperatures for example, as in our more realistic calculations) and that the axisymmetry is conserved. Moreover, we will assume that the region $\Delta z$ over which melting increased $R_1 \to R'_1 > R_1$ is deep compared to the depth over which the gradients $dR'_1/dz$ are significant and that a Hagen–Poiseuille flow can approximate the flow solution in $\Delta z$ far away from the boundary of $\Delta z$. In this case, at steady state, the...
We can therefore solve for the new pressure drop $\Delta p'$ and non-wetting channel radius $R'_g$ as a function of the change in radius due to melting $R_1 \rightarrow R'_1$. The non-wetting channel radius in the wider part of the conduit is then given by

$$R'_g = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{4AC + B^2}}{2A} - \frac{B}{2A}},$$

where

$$A = R^4_1 \left[ 2 \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) (R^2_1 - R^2_g) - \frac{\lambda}{2} R^2_g \right]$$

$$B = R^2_1 \left[ R^4_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) + \lambda R^2_1 \right],$$

$$C = 2R^4_g \left[ R^4_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} R^2_1 R^2_g \right].$$

Figure 10 illustrates the dependence of the capillary channel radius in the wider conduit ($R'_1$) as a function of the change in conduit radius $R_1$. For $R'_1 > R_1$, the channel becomes wider ($R'_g > R_g$). This results from the fact that melting pushes the no-slip boundary at $R_1$ further away and therefore decreases the shear stress at the boundary when $R'_1 - R'_g > R_1 - R_g$.

As $q_{nw} \propto U_{nw} R_g$, where $U_{nw}$ is the average velocity of the non-wetting phase in the capillary channel, we expect that the average flow velocity in the wider region is decreased by a factor $(R_g/R'_g)^2$. This induces longitudinal gradients of velocity $\partial u/\partial z$ in the vicinity of $\Delta z$, where the flow is slowed down when the non-wetting phase approaches the widening of the conduit. It leads to an accumulation of non-wetting fluid. The stretching of the channel above the wider region and the longitudinal perturbation of radius $R_g$ with $z$ around $\Delta z$ are expected to favour capillary instabilities (such as Rayleigh–Plateau instabilities) that can cause the breakup of the channel into slugs or bubbles of non-wetting fluid. We tested this conceptual model with a numerical calculation, where the temperature of the non-wetting fluid is raised instantaneously in an axisymmetric annular flow after a steady-state flow is reached. The solid walls are constructed similarly to the conceptual model (figure 9), where only a narrow band at the centre is allowed to melt. In figure 11, we observe that
only a small amount of melting (∼1%) is required for instability (oscillations at the interface between the two fluids) and leads to the breakup of the capillary channel.

In all calculations, the injection rate of buoyant non-wetting fluid remains constant during a single run. The local increase in porosity associated with melting directly affects the local non-wetting phase saturation $S_{nw}$,

$$\frac{dS_{nw}}{dt} = \frac{1}{V\phi(0)} \frac{dV_{nw}}{dt} - \frac{V_{nw}^{(0)}}{V\phi(0)^2} \frac{d\phi}{dt},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.15)

where the superscript 0 refers to an initial condition, $V$ is the reference volume of porous medium, $V_{nw}$ is the volume of non-wetting fluid in $V$ and $\phi$ is the porosity. Equation (4.15) shows that when the increase of volume of non-wetting fluid induced by the longitudinal reduction of flow velocity associated with melting does not keep up with the porosity increase, the local saturation of non-wetting fluid decreases, the capillary channel is unstable and eventually breaks. The two time derivatives in (4.15) introduce two competing time scales, $\tau_{nw} \sim \Delta z [(R_g^2 - R_g^2)/R_g^2]/U_{nw}$ for the volume change of non-wetting fluid and a time scale for the porosity evolution (melting) $\tau_m \sim R_g^2/(\zeta(St)\kappa_{eff})$. In the definition of $\tau_m$, $\zeta(St)$ is an implicit and monotonically increasing function of $St$ (see (4.20)) and $\kappa_{eff}$ is a multiphase radial thermal diffusivity. For an axisymmetric annular flow, assuming a temperature drop across the pipe radius $R_1$ of $\Delta T = \Delta T_{nw} + \Delta T_w$, we get

$$k_{nw} \frac{\Delta T_{nw}}{R_g} \sim k_w \frac{\Delta T_w}{R_1 - R_g},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.16)

where $k_i$ is the thermal conductivity of phase $i$ and $\Delta T_i$ is the radial temperature drop in the fluid $i$. The effective multiphase thermal diffusivity in this simplified geometry
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Figure 11. (Colour online) Snapshots of a calculation where an axisymmetric annular flow ($S_{nw} = 0.27$) is initially at steady state. The boundary conditions are periodic. The solid walls (in blue/outer dark layer) bounding the annular flow are made of the refractory solid fraction (no melting possible) except for a narrow band at the centre of the conduit where the solid can melt. After reaching a steady state, the temperature of the non-wetting fluid (in red/darkest, most central shading) is instantaneously raised above the melting temperature of the centre band of fertile solid. As soon as melting occurs, a Rayleigh–Plateau instability is initiated (the capillary channel oscillates), which leads to the breakup of the channel. The amount of melting necessary to disrupt the channel was found to be only 1% of the original sample volume.

becomes

$$\kappa_{eff} \sim \kappa_{nw} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta T_w}{\Delta T}\right) \frac{R_1}{R_g},$$

(4.17)

where $\Delta T_w$ is given by

$$\Delta T_w \sim \frac{\kappa_{nw} c_{nw} \rho_{nw} \Delta T (R_1 - R_g)}{\kappa_w \rho_w c_w R_g + \kappa_{nw} c_{nw} \rho_{nw} (R_1 - R_g)}.$$

(4.18)

If the $\tau_m < \tau_{nw}$, i.e. the Stefan number is large or the injection rate is small, melting is expected to reduce the local saturation of the non-wetting phase, which at some point will lead to the breakup of the capillary channel; on the other hand, if $\tau_m > \tau_{nw}$, then $S_{nw}$ decreases only slowly and the channel remains stable for a longer duration.

Our discussion of the effect of melting on the breakup of capillary channels in porous media has been limited so far to isochoric (no volume change) phase changes during melting. Most substances (except, for example, water) exhibit a volume increase upon melting. In this particular case, we hypothesize that the volume increase associated with the phase change will promote the destabilization of the channel as the local overpressure is expected to accelerate the pinch-off process.
4.2. Injection rate, capillary number

Lenormand et al. (1988), Ewing & Berkowitz (1998) and Blunt (2001) discussed the importance of the injection rate of an invading fluid in the generation of capillary or viscous fingering instabilities. They showed that for viscosity ratios close to unity ($\lambda \sim 1$), corresponding to our calculations, instabilities originate from capillary effects as long as the injection rate or $Ca$ does not exceed a value of $\sim 1$. Our calculations are set with a fixed viscosity ratio $\lambda = 1$, a fixed Bond number $Bo \sim 0.1$ and over a range of capillary numbers $Ca \sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-1}$, where the latter two numbers use the initial average pore radius as the reference length scale. A major difference between our calculations and typical capillary instabilities lies in the fact that, in a melting environment, the dimensionless numbers $St$, $Bo$ and $Ca$ are evolving with time because (i) the non-wetting phase loses heat to the wetting fluid and to melt the porous matrix, and (ii) the average pore radius evolves with time as a result of melting. In this section we briefly discuss how melting affects $Ca$.

A time-dependent definition of the capillary number for the injection of the invading fluid includes the evolution of the average radius size of the relevant pores, which are bound to increase because of melting. Using the same model as above, where the multiphase flow through the porous medium is simplified to an annular flow through a vertical pipe, we assume that melting increases the radius of the pipe at a certain level of the porous media according to

$$R_1(t) = R_1^{(0)} + 2\zeta \sqrt{\kappa_{\text{eff}} t}. \quad (4.19)$$

Assuming a simplified heat transfer problem in a cylinder (Carslaw & Jager 1959), $\zeta$ becomes

$$\zeta^2 \exp(\zeta) \text{Ei}(-\zeta^2) + St = 0, \quad (4.20)$$

where $\text{Ei}$ is the integral exponential function. Figure 12(a) shows the evolution of $Ca$ versus time (normalized using the Fourier number $Fo \equiv \kappa_{\text{eff}} t / R_1^2$) for different $St$ for this idealized melting problem. Figure 12(a) illustrates that, although the injection rates of non-wetting fluid $q_{nw}$ remain constant for each calculation, $Ca$ decreases faster for greater $St$. In the pore-network calculations of Lenormand et al. (1988), the invading fluid flows in response to the injection rate solely (no buoyancy) and capillary instabilities percolate through the porous medium as long as $Ca$ remains finite. For buoyant flows, however, the situation is different. If the mass flow rate of the buoyant non-wetting phase locally exceeds the injection rate (which is possible at low $Ca$ or high $Bo$), capillary channels can break into slugs disconnected from the injection region.

We conducted calculations with various injection rate values, and hence $Ca$, for fixed $Bo = 0.1$, (i) to test if capillary channels were able to grow, and (ii) to measure the characteristic non-wetting fluid velocity (defined as $\sim 1/2$ of the maximum velocity) in the main capillary channel. The results are summarized in figure 12(b). We see that below a given value of injection rate, corresponding here to a critical $Ca_{cr} \sim 3 \times 10^{-3}$, the non-wetting phase rises through the porous medium as disconnected slugs or bubbles. All the calculations reported in the next sections have been performed with an initial $Ca > Ca_{cr}$ in order to observe the dynamics of capillary channels; however, as discussed above (see figure 12a), $Ca$ decreases with time because of melting. We note that the simple $Ca$ evolution trends shown in figure 12 assume a constant $St$, which is an obvious overestimate of the evolution of the melting efficiency as the superheat in the non-wetting phase decreases with time.
and distance away from the injection point (due to heat absorbed by the wetting fluid and the solid matrix).

To summarize, the competition between the injection rate of non-wetting buoyant fluid ($Ca$), buoyancy ($Bo$) and superheat ($St$) controls the stability of capillary channels during our calculations. We observe that for large amounts of superheat or large buoyancy and small injection rates, channels can be destabilized and break into slugs under the action of capillary forces. Moreover, the dimensionless numbers describing the balance between injection of non-wetting fluid, buoyancy and capillary forces vary temporally and spatially because of localized melting. For instance, $Ca$ decreases with time locally because of the evolution of the channel radius with melting. The superheat carried by the non-wetting phase (which is responsible for melting deep in the porous medium) is gradually absorbed by the surrounding melt and the latent heat of the matrix. As a result, the melting efficiency ($St$) decreases with time and also with distance from the injection region. At large $St$, we observe (figure 8) that melting inhibits the formation and stability of capillary channels; however, as time progresses and at greater distance from the lower boundary (injection region), $St$ is significantly reduced and a situation similar to low $St$ number regimes (growth of long-lasting capillary channels) is recovered. This observation encourages us to focus on calculations at intermediate-to-low $St$ ($<1$), where capillary instabilities control the heat and mass flux associated with the invading fluid, because they are also consistent with the mode of heat and mass transfer at higher melting efficiencies beyond a small buffer region (a few pore radii above the injection region in our calculations) where melting absorbs most of the superheat.
4.3. Reactant transport

In this section, we discuss the non-wetting fluid mass and associated heat transport in capillary channels. We define a local Péclet number to quantify the ratio of advective to diffusive reactant/heat transport in capillary channels,

\[ Pe = \frac{u_{lnw} R_l}{\kappa_{eff}}, \tag{4.21} \]

where the subscript \( l \) refers to local, i.e. pore-scale definitions, \( R_l \) is a characteristic pore radius in the capillary channel, \( u_{lnw} \) is a characteristic non-wetting phase flow velocity in the channel (usually \( \sim 50\% \) of the maximum velocity). We emphasize that this definition of the Péclet number measures the relative contribution of reactant transport in capillary channels at the pore scale, and, as such, is not a direct macroscale measure of the reactant/heat transport in the porous medium. Figure 13 illustrates typical results with snapshots showing the distribution of mass, excess enthalpy, temperature (isotherm contour \((T - T_m)/T_m = 0.1\)) and velocity magnitude we obtain at low Stefan number \((St = 0.1)\). The two rows allow us to compare visually the behaviour of our results for two different local Péclet numbers.

In order to quantify the penetration of non-wetting phase and heat in the porous medium vertically from the injection region (inlet), we discretize the porous medium in \( N \) (generally using \( N = 6 \)) equal-sized horizontal sections (see figure 14a). The number of sections \( N \), and therefore the thickness of each layer (50 calculations
Figure 14. (Colour online) The porous medium is sliced into $N$ (here $N = 6$) layers to better track the temporal and spatial evolution of the melting front in the porous medium (a). (b) An example of normalized porosity evolution (defined in (4.22)) for the different layers, where time is made dimensionless using the thermal diffusion (for non-wetting phase) time scale. (c) Temporal evolution of $m_i$ defined in (4.23). These functions allow us to track the migration of the melting front and to assess the depth of penetration of the heat transfer into the porous medium as a function of time.

point along the vertical dimension for $N = 6$) is chosen to be large enough to provide robust statistics about the temporal evolution of the average porosity and gas saturation in each layer and small enough to gain a better understanding of the vertical distribution of melting and gas transport. Because of the random distribution of grains/crystals with a melting temperature greater than the maximum temperature of the system (corresponding to $\sim 21\%$ of the volume of the sample), each layer is expected to have a different amount of these refractory phases (see figure 2). To compare the amount of melting in each layer, we normalize the porosity to

$$\phi^*(z_i, t) = \frac{\phi(z_i, t) - \phi_{\text{min}, i}}{\phi_{\text{max}, i} - \phi_{\text{min}, i}}, \quad (4.22)$$

where $z_i$ references the position of the layer ($z_i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N$ from the lowest to the top layer), $\phi_{\text{min}, i}$ and $\phi_{\text{max}, i}$ are respectively the initial and maximum porosity (1-refractory phase fraction) of the layer (figure 14b).

One would expect that at low $Pe$, when heat transfer is dominated by diffusion from the inlet region, melting would be, at least at early times ($t \ll (N \ast dz)^2 / k_{\text{eff}}$, where $dz$ is the distance between $z_i$ and $z_{i-1}$), confined only to the lower layers. In contrast, at high $Pe$, when channels of non-wetting fluid are developed, the penetration depth of heat and melting in the porous medium is expected to grow with increasing $Pe$. To compare the contemporary evolution of the porosity in each layer and assess the localization of melting vertically, we introduce

$$m_i(t) = (\phi^*(z_i, t) - \phi^*(z_{i+1}, t)). \quad (4.23)$$

The $m_i$ are generally positive functions which initially grow as the lower layer of the pair ($i$) experiences initially more melting. They reach a maximum when the melting rate of the two layer is comparable and finally decrease monotonically once the melting front is shifted upwards (higher than position $z_i$): see figure 14(c). The height of the maximum of $m_i$ is controlled by the duration over which melting is dominantly occurring in the layer $i$ and only marginally in $i + 1$ and therefore can be used to quantify the amount of vertical localization of the region over which melting occurs. As the most rapid melting occurs initially in the lowermost layer, when the superheat
carried by the non-wetting phase is maximal and the porous medium remains fertile to melting, the maximum values of \( m_i(t) \), \( \max(m_i) \), are expected to decrease away from the inlet region (with increasing \( i \)) with a few exceptions due to the actual distribution of refractory solids in each layer.

Assuming again a simple geometry consisting of a cylindrical pipe of radius \( R \) with fixed wall temperature (here corresponding to the melting temperature of the fertile solid fraction \( T_m \)), and a steady fluid flow entering at temperature \( T_0 > T_m \) but neglecting the effect of melting (latent heat absorbed and changes in pipe radius), the mid-point (i.e. \( r = 0 \)) temperature distribution along the pipe \( T_z \) is given by (Bejan 2004)

\[
T_z(z) = T_m + (T_0 - T_m) \exp[-\sigma(z - z_0)],
\]

where \( z_0 \) is the inlet region of the pipe and \( \sigma = A/(R Pe) \) with \( Pe = uR/\kappa \) and \( A \) is a constant. We approximate the radial heat transfer out of the pipe with

\[
q_r(z) \sim -k_{\text{eff}} \left( \frac{T_z(z) - T_m}{R} \right).
\]

Assuming that the pipe wall is able to melt, and that the melting rate is low (limit of low \( St \)), the heat flux balance at the wall determines the melting rate at the wall,

\[
q_r(z) \sim \rho_s L_f R \frac{d\phi}{dt},
\]

and hence, for small \( St \) in a simplified pipe flow geometry, we should expect the amount melting to decrease exponentially along the pipe,

\[
\phi(z > z_0) \sim \phi(z = z_0) \exp(-\sigma z),
\]

where \( \sigma \sim 1/Pe \). Figure 15(a) shows an example of a porosity profile (averaged over each of the horizontal layers) for a calculation with initially \( St = 0.1 \) compared with a fit of the form \( \exp(-\sigma z) \). We plot in figure 15(b) the dependence of the fitting constant \( \sigma \) on \( Pe \) for three different \( Pe \) at \( St = 0.1 \). These results are in good agreement with the expected results for axisymmetric pipe flows in the limit of \( St \ll 1 \); even if the geometry of the conduit in our calculations is much more complex, the flow is multiphase and the porous medium has a heterogenous distribution of fertile and refractory grains. This analysis reveals that, for \( St \ll 1 \), the heat can be channelled.
vertically through the porous media ahead of the inlet over a penetration distance that scales like $Pe$. For $Pe \ll 1$, the heat transfer to the wetting fluid and solid matrix becomes predominantly radial around the main capillary channels rather than vertical from a sub-horizontal melting front migrating upwards from the inlet.

Another way to approach the characterization of the heat penetration depth $L$ in a capillary channel of radius $R$ is readily done by using the average non-wetting fluid velocity in the channel $u_{nw}$ and the characteristic time scale for heat loss radially by diffusion $\tau \sim R^2/\kappa_{eff}$:

$$\frac{L}{R} \sim \frac{u_{nw}\tau}{R} = Pe. \quad (4.28)$$

Figures 16(a)–16(c) illustrate the dependence of $L$ on $Pe$; the aspect ratio of the thermal contours in the capillary channels are plotted against $Pe$ in figure 16(d).

The scaling relationship of (4.28) predicts that, as long as the $St$ number is $\ll 1$, the aspect ratio of the thermal contours in the capillary channels depends linearly on $Pe$. 

**Figure 16.** (Colour online) Snapshots illustrating the dependence of the aspect ratio $L/R$ of isotherms in the channels on the local $Pe$. Panel (d) suggests that the aspect ratio $L/R$ is linearly proportional to $Pe$. The error bars are calculated from the spatial resolution of our calculation.
Figure 17. (Colour online) Snapshots of calculations at $Pe = 14$, $St = 0.1$ taken at different times. They show that, for low $St$, the aspect ratio of isotherms in capillary channels remains approximately constant with time.

The scaling remains valid and the aspect ratio approximately constant as long as the flow and the channel width remain roughly constant with time (see figure 17).

Further useful information on the heat transfer associated with the flux of buoyant superheated non-wetting fluid can be extracted from the maximum values of $m_i$ for each layers: the migration rate of the melting front. We define $t_i$ as the time in our calculations when $m_i$ reaches its maximum value. We expect that at $Pe \gg 1$ the heat transfer is dominated by the advective flux associated with capillary channels, which remains mostly constant during our calculations (see figure 17). In the following figures, time is made dimensionless using the Fourier number $Fo = \kappa_{nw}t/R^2$, where $R$ is the average pore radius in the capillary channel. At $Pe \gg 1$, the relationship between $t_i$ and $z_i$ is expected to be linear (see figure 18a,d,e) with a slope $\beta$ proportional to $1/Pe$ (figure 18b,c). In contrast, at lower values of $Pe$ ($\lesssim O(1)$), the heat transfer is dominated by diffusion from the inlet region and the power-law exponent $t_i \sim z_i^{\zeta}$, where $\zeta$ is expected to approach the limit $\zeta = 2$ when $Pe \to 0$ (figure 18d,e). We also compare the migration of the melting front for different initial values of $St$, from 0.1 to 1. We observe that the migration rates are significantly different even for similar $Pe$. However, if we rescale the time with $St$ to account for the effect of melting on the heat transfer (figure 18b), the migration rate becomes much more comparable over the range of $St$ at fixed $Pe$. The residual variability in the dimensionless migration rate at a given $Pe$ across the range of $St$ can be explained by the presence, at high $St$, of a largely melted buffer region next to the inlet where the initial superheat is partially absorbed before capillary channels are stabilized.

4.4. Macroscale evolution

In this section, we discuss the evolution of the system at the scale of the porous media sample. We focus on the evolution of two scalar fields: (i) the overall normalized porosity, and (ii) the non-wetting fluid saturation (pore volume fraction). Because the dynamics at high $St$ (close to unity) is transient and evolves to $St \ll 1$ after a buffer layer of a few average pore radii absorbs most of the superheat of the non-
wetting fluid, we centre the discussion on lower $St$ ($St = 0.1$). Figure 19(a) shows the normalized porosity increasing with dimensionless time in response to the amount of superheat injected with the non-wetting fluid in the porous medium. We observe that even for $Pe > 1$, at early times (small $Fo$), diffusive heat transfer from the inlet region dominates and the $\phi^* \sim Fo^{1/2}$. As soon as capillary channels develop and melting moves away from the inlet region, we observe a transition to a linear relationship $\phi^* \sim Fo$ characteristic of a heat transfer dominated by advection. However, one can easily observe that the slope of $\phi^*(Fo)$ does not depend linearly on $Pe$, contrary to our discussion in the preceding section. This is because our definition of $Pe$ is local (pore scale) and does not apply to an effective $Pe$ at the Darcy scale. The latter is of limited use because the macroscale evolution of the system is strongly correlated to pore-scale processes (capillary instabilities, reactive transport).

Figure 19(b) shows the evolution of the global non-wetting phase saturation in the porous medium as a function of time ($Fo$). First, the saturation builds up as the non-wetting phase accumulates. Second, the increase in porosity associated with melting contributes negatively to $S_{nw}$ because $S_{nw} \sim 1/\phi^*$. The two regimes observed in the saturation evolution reflect the two competing processes, (i) injection of non-wetting phase on the positive side, and (ii) melting on the negative side. A steady state was
Figure 19. (Colour online) Overall evolution of the porous medium for $St = 0.1$ and various local $Pe$. (a) The melting rate is greater for greater $Pe$, as expected. (b) Evolution of the overall non-wetting phase saturation $S_{nw}$. Early on the saturation increases because of the injection; it then reaches a maximum and decreases because of melting (increase in porosity).

not found during our calculations because of limited computational resources and the time required to melt the solid matrix far away from the inlet and from superheated channels.

Our calculations highlight the importance of localized transport of mass and reactant in capillary channels during the invasion of a buoyant non-wetting fluid in porous media. The viability of these capillary channels is increased when the ratio of mass transport of non-wetting fluid to superheat is maximized.

5. Conclusion

Multiphase flows in porous media control to a great extent the mass, heat and chemical balance in the vadose region. In some instances, reactants are transported by one of the two fluid phases and influence the mass transfer when reactions affect the flow pathways at the pore scale. The coupling between mass and momentum transport between each phase is highly nonlinear, especially at the scale of the pore. Their investigation requires sophisticated numerical methods. Standard numerical methods include (i) pore-network models, where a simplified dynamics is solved at the scale of the pores, and (ii) macroscale (Darcy-scale) models of multiphase transport where the dynamics at the pore scale is averaged in terms of simple constitutive equations. We remark that both methods offer several advantages in terms of computational simplicity and possibility of investigating larger sample volumes. However, a proper account of reactive transport and its effect on the flow transport requires a more complex approach, where conservation equations are actually solved at the pore scale (Woods & Farcaș 2009).

In this study, we present a new numerical approach for multiphase reactive flows in porous media at the pore scale, where the pore geometry can be arbitrarily complex. We use the numerical model to investigate (i) the effect of the reaction on non-wetting fluid flow pathways (capillary channels), (ii) the importance of the injection rate of the buoyant non-wetting fluid carrying the reactant, and (iii) the penetration depth of
reactant advected beyond the diffusing reaction front. We compare our results with scaling laws obtained for simple geometries in the limit of low reaction rates and observe good agreement between the two. Our calculations show that (i) capillary channels are not stable when the reaction rate is large (when the flow pathways are evolving rapidly because of melting/dissolution), (ii) in the limit of small $St$, the radial transport of reactant out of the capillary channel decays exponentially with the depth of penetration in the porous medium, and (iii) the aspect ratio of isocontours of reactant concentration in the non-wetting fluid channels scales like the local Péclet number.

This study allows us to better understand the evolution of the spatial and temporal distribution of each fluid phase and reactant in porous media during dissolution/melting and using different injection rates of non-wetting fluid. However, a significant amount of work is required to incorporate the small-scale physics learned from realistic pore-scale calculation into field-scale models. We believe that the challenges associated with the development of detailed pore-scale models and their upscaling is a difficult but necessary step towards a better understanding of reactive transport.
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